

Conformal Dirac Structures

Aïssa WADE

Abstract

The Courant bracket defined originally on the sections of the vector bundle $TM \oplus T^*M \rightarrow M$ is extended to the direct sum of the 1-jet vector bundle and its dual. The extended bracket allows one to interpret many structures encountered in differential geometry in terms of Dirac structures. We give here a new approach to conformal Jacobi structures.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we describe globally Jacobi manifolds through the theory of Dirac structures. Dirac structures on manifolds, introduced by T. Courant and A. Weinstein in [C-W], provide a framework for the study of Poisson structures, pre-symplectic manifolds, as well as foliations. Roughly speaking, a *Dirac structure* on a smooth manifold M is a sub-bundle $L \rightarrow M$ of the vector bundle $TM \oplus T^*M \rightarrow M$, whose total space is maximally isotropic under the canonical symmetric 2-form on $TM \oplus T^*M$ and whose smooth sections are closed under the *Courant bracket* (see Section 2 below). On the other hand, a *Jacobi structure* on M is defined by a bivector field π and a vector field E satisfying $[\pi, \pi]_s = 2E \wedge \pi$, $[E, \pi]_s = 0$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]_s$ is the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket ([Kz]). A *Jacobi manifold* is a smooth manifold endowed with a Jacobi structure. It is known that Jacobi structures include contact forms, symplectic and Poisson structures (see for instance [G-L]). Thus a natural question arises: is there a simple characterization of Jacobi manifolds by means of Dirac structures?

The main problem which emerges when one tries to describe a general Jacobi structure using the concept of a Dirac structure on a manifold comes from the fact that a Jacobi structure on a smooth manifold M involves the vector bundle of the 1-jets of real functions on M instead of the tangent bundle. Hence the first step in resolving the above question is to find a

suitable bracket on the sections of the vector bundle $\mathcal{E}^1(M) = (TM \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus (T^*M \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow M$ extending the Courant bracket. In Section 3, we introduce such a bracket on sections of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$, which turns out to be an operation leading to the 1-jet Lie algebroid structure associated to any Jacobi manifold (see [Ke-SB]). Our approach is based on an idea that can be found in [D], where the author generalizes the Courant bracket to the case of complexes over Lie algebras, which is the algebraic counterpart of the de Rham complex of differential forms.

Our principal aim is to develop the theory of conformal Jacobi structures (see for instance [D-L-M]) within the context of Dirac structures. So we expect to find an equivalence relation for Dirac structures generalizing the concept of a conformal Jacobi manifold. Our motivation for this investigation originates from the fact that an important class of manifolds, namely contact manifolds without a globally defined contact 1-form, are conformal Jacobi manifolds in the sense of [D-L-M] but not Jacobi manifolds.

Our presentation goes as follows: we introduce basic definitions and give some examples of Dirac structures on manifolds in Section 2.

In Section 3, we consider a skew symmetric bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on the sections of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$. This bracket is just a straightforward extension of the Courant bracket. Next, we consider $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ endowed with its canonical symmetric 2-form and we define a Dirac structure of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ to be a maximal isotropic sub-bundle L of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ whose sections are closed under this bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$. We prove in Theorem 3.4 that any Dirac structure of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ is a Lie algebroid.

In Section 4, examples of Dirac structures of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$, such as homogeneous Poisson manifolds, Jacobi structures and Nambu manifolds are presented.

Section 5 is devoted to the discussion of admissible functions of a Dirac structure of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$. We show that there is an one-to-one correspondence between Jacobi structures on a manifold M and Dirac structures of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ for which any smooth function on M is admissible.

In Section 6, we introduce an equivalence relation among Dirac structures of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ and we use it to define a conformal Dirac structure. This section contains our main results (Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.4).

2 Courant Bracket - Dirac Structures

Let M be a smooth manifold. Consider the vector bundle $TM \oplus T^*M \rightarrow M$. The *Courant bracket* on the space $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ of smooth sections of $TM \oplus T^*M$ is the skew symmetric operation $[\cdot, \cdot]_c$ such that for any $X_1 + \alpha_1, X_2 + \alpha_2 \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$, we have

$$[X_1 + \alpha_1, X_2 + \alpha_2]_c = [X_1, X_2] + \mathcal{L}_{X_1}\alpha_2 - \mathcal{L}_{X_2}\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}d(i_{X_2}\alpha_1 - i_{X_1}\alpha_2),$$

where i_X is the interior product by the vector field X , d is the exterior derivative and $\mathcal{L}_X = d \circ i_X + i_X \circ d$ is the Lie derivation by X . The Courant bracket does not satisfy the Jacobi identity in spite of the fact that there is a striking similarity between this bracket and the Lie bracket on the double $\mathcal{G} \oplus \mathcal{G}^*$ of a Lie bialgebra $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^*)$ (see [Dr]). In fact, if we denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_+$ the canonical symmetric 2-form on $TM \oplus T^*M$, this induces an operation on $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ defined by

$$\langle X_1 + \alpha_1, X_2 + \alpha_2 \rangle_+ = \frac{1}{2}(i_{X_2}\alpha_1 + i_{X_1}\alpha_2).$$

Then,

$$[[e_1, e_2]_c, e_3]_c + c.p. = \frac{1}{3}d\langle [e_1, e_2]_c, e_3 \rangle_+ + c.p.$$

for any $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$. Here the notation $c.p.$ denotes the other terms obtained by cyclic permutations of indices 1,2 and 3. The Courant bracket was systematized by Liu, Weinstein and Xu in [L-W-X].

In what follows, for simplicity, we will omit the base space of vector bundles when this is implied (i.e. a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ will be often replaced by its total space E).

Definition 2.1 Let L be a sub-bundle of $TM \oplus T^*M$. Then L is said to be a *Dirac structure on the manifold M* if L is maximally isotropic for the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_+$ and the set $\Gamma(L)$ of smooth sections of L is closed under the Courant bracket.

Let us give the basic examples of Dirac structures:

Example 2.2 Pre-symplectic 2-forms.

Let ω be a differential 2-form on M . It can be considered as a map $\omega : TM \rightarrow T^*M$ defined by $\omega(Y) = i_Y\omega$. Let us denote by L_ω its graph. Then, L_ω is a Dirac structure on M if and only if ω is a closed 2-form.

Example 2.3 Poisson structures.

A *Poisson structure* on a manifold M is given by a bivector field $\pi \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2(TM))$ such that $[\pi, \pi]_s = 0$, where $[\ , \]_s$ is the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket on the space of poly-vector fields (see [KZ]).

Any bivector field π defines a skew symmetric map, that we denote also by $\pi : T^*M \rightarrow TM$, whose extension to the sections is given by $i_{\pi(\alpha)}\beta = \pi(\alpha, \beta)$ for any two 1-forms α, β . The graph L_π of that map is a Dirac structure on M if and only if π is a Poisson structure.

Example 2.4 Involutive distributions.

Let F be sub-bundle of TM and denote by $F^\perp$ its annihilator in T^*M . We consider $L = \{Y + \xi \mid Y \in F, \ \xi \in F^\perp\}$. Then L is a Dirac structure on M if and only if $\Gamma(F)$ is closed under the Lie bracket of vector fields.

3 Extension of the Courant Bracket

Let M be a smooth manifold. We shall extend the Courant bracket to the smooth sections of the vector bundle $\mathcal{E}^1(M) \rightarrow M$, where

$$\mathcal{E}^1(M) = (TM \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus (T^*M \times \mathbb{R}).$$

The smooth sections of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ are of the form $e = (X, f) + (\alpha, g)$, where X is a smooth vector field on M , α is a 1-form and f, g are smooth functions on M . In what follows, we use the notation $X \cdot f$ instead of $i_X df$ for any vector field X and for any smooth function f . Consider the following bracket on $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M))$:

$$\begin{aligned} [(X_1, f_1) + (\alpha_1, g_1), (X_2, f_2) + (\alpha_2, g_2)] = & \left([X_1, X_2], X_1 \cdot f_2 - X_2 \cdot f_1 \right) \\ & + \left(\mathcal{L}_{X_1}\alpha_2 - \mathcal{L}_{X_2}\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}d(i_{X_2}\alpha_1 - i_{X_1}\alpha_2) \right. \\ & + f_1\alpha_2 - f_2\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}(g_2df_1 - g_1df_2 - f_1dg_2 + f_2dg_1), \\ & \left. X_1 \cdot g_2 - X_2 \cdot g_1 + \frac{1}{2}(i_{X_2}\alpha_1 - i_{X_1}\alpha_2 - f_2g_1 + f_1g_2) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the $(X_i, f_i) + (\alpha_i, g_i)$ are in $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M))$. In order to simplify our notation, we use the same symbol $[\cdot, \cdot]$ for both the Lie bracket on vector fields and the bracket on sections of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$. By identifying $(X_i, 0) + (\alpha_i, 0)$ with $X_i + \alpha_i$, we get:

$$[X_1 + \alpha_1, X_2 + \alpha_2] = \left([X_1, X_2], 0\right) + \left(\mathcal{L}_{X_1}\alpha_2 - \mathcal{L}_{X_2}\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}d(i_{X_2}\alpha_1 - i_{X_1}\alpha_2), \frac{1}{2}(i_{X_2}\alpha_1 - i_{X_1}\alpha_2)\right).$$

So the projection of the second member of this equality onto $TM \oplus T^*M$ gives the Courant bracket of $X_1 + \alpha_1$ and $X_2 + \alpha_2$.

Remark 3.1 To any sub-bundle $L \subset TM \oplus T^*M$, we can associate the sub-bundle $\tilde{L}$ of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ whose fibre at x is given by

$$\tilde{L}_x = \{(X(x), 0) + (\alpha(x), f(x)) \mid X + \alpha \in \Gamma(L), f \in C^\infty(M)\}.$$

In fact L is maximally isotropic for the 2-form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_+$ if and only if $\tilde{L}$ is a maximal isotropic sub-bundle with respect to the extension of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_+$ to $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ defined by:

$$\left\langle (X_1, \mu_1) + (\alpha_1, \lambda_1), (X_2, \mu_2) + (\alpha_2, \lambda_2) \right\rangle_+ = \frac{1}{2} \left(i_{X_2}\alpha_1 + i_{X_1}\alpha_2 + \lambda_1\mu_2 + \lambda_2\mu_1 \right)$$

for any $(X_i, \mu_i) + (\alpha_i, \lambda_i)$ elements of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$, with $i = 1, 2$. Furthermore, $\Gamma(L)$ is closed under the Courant bracket if and only if $\Gamma(\tilde{L})$ is closed under the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$.

Definition 3.2 Let L be a sub-bundle of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$. Then L is said to be a *Dirac structure* of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ if L is maximally isotropic for the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_+$ and $\Gamma(L)$ is closed under $[\cdot, \cdot]$.

It follows from Remark 3.1 that Dirac structures on M are in one-to-one correspondence with Dirac structures of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ which are subsets of $TM \oplus (T^*M \times \mathbb{R})$. Hence, any closed 2-form, foliation or Poisson structure can be identified with a Dirac structure of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$.

Let us fix some notation.

Notations. For any $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M))$, we set

$$T(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \frac{1}{3} \langle [e_1, e_2], e_3 \rangle_+ + c.p \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(e)h = X \cdot h$$

for any $e = (X, f) + (\alpha, g) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M))$ and $h \in C^\infty(M)$.

Proposition 3.3 *With the above notations, we have:*

- (i) $[[e_1, e_2], e_3] + c.p. = (0, 0) + \left(dT(e_1, e_2, e_3), T(e_1, e_2, e_3) \right)$ for any $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M))$,
- (ii) $[e_1, f e_2] = f[e_1, e_2] + (\rho(e_1)f)e_2 - \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_+ \left((0, 0) + (df, 0) \right)$ for any smooth function f and for any $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M))$.

The proof of Proposition 3.3 is straightforward. We shall use this proposition to show that any Dirac structure of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ is a Lie algebroid. Recall that a vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over a smooth manifold M is said to be a *Lie algebroid* if there is a Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathcal{E}}$ on $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ and a bundle map $\varrho : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow TM$, extended to a map between sections of these bundles, such that

- 1) $\varrho([X, Y]_{\mathcal{E}}) = [\varrho(X), \varrho(Y)]$,
- 2) $[X, fY]_{\mathcal{E}} = f[X, Y]_{\mathcal{E}} + (\varrho(X)f)Y$,

for any X, Y smooth sections of $\mathcal{E}$ and for any smooth function f defined on M . Then ϱ is called the *anchor* of the Lie algebroid.

Theorem 3.4 *Let L be an isotropic sub-bundle of $(\mathcal{E}^1(M), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_+)$ such that $\Gamma(L)$ is closed under the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ of $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M))$. Then $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \rho|_L)$ is a Lie algebroid. In particular, any Dirac structure of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ is a Lie algebroid.*

Proof: By applying the first property of Proposition 3.3, we obtain the Jacobi identity on $\Gamma(L)$. Moreover, the second property of Proposition 3.3 implies that

$$[e_1, f e_2] = f[e_1, e_2] + (\rho(e_1)f)e_2 \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(L), \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(M).$$

■

Remark 3.5 Let (A, A^*) be a Lie bialgebroid (i.e. A and A^* are endowed with Lie algebroid structures such that the differential d_* on $\Gamma(\wedge A)$, induced from the Lie algebroid structure on A^* is a derivation of the Lie bracket on $\Gamma(A)$). In [L-W-X], the authors define a bracket on the direct sum $\mathcal{E} = A \oplus A^*$ such that $\mathcal{E}$ is a *Courant algebroid* (see [L-W-X] for details). This bracket is different from the extended Courant bracket that we define above. It is clear that (TM, T^*M) is a bialgebroid, where $\Gamma(TM)$ is equipped with the Lie bracket and T^*M is equipped with the null Lie algebroid bracket. But Property (i) of Proposition 3.3 shows that the extended Courant bracket defined on $\Gamma(\mathcal{E}^1(M))$ does not induce a Courant algebroid structure on $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$.

4 Further Examples of Dirac Structures

We begin this section by giving a correspondence between Jacobi manifolds and some special Dirac structures. Next, we will show that apart from Jacobi manifolds, there are other interesting examples of Dirac structures.

4.1 Jacobi Manifolds

A *Jacobi structure* on a manifold M is given by a pair (π, E) formed by a bivector field π and a vector field E such that ([L])

$$[E, \pi]_s = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [\pi, \pi]_s = 2E \wedge \pi,$$

where $[\ , \]_s$ is the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket on the space of poly-vector fields. A manifold endowed with a Jacobi structure is said to be a *Jacobi manifold*. When E is zero, we get a Poisson structure.

Any smooth section P of $\Lambda^2(TM \times R)$ is defined by a pair (π, E) , where π is a bivector field and E is a vector field on M . Indeed, P can be regarded as a vector bundle map $P: T^*M \times R \rightarrow TM \times R$ whose extension to the sections of $T^*M \times R$ has the following form:

$$P(\alpha, g) = (\pi\alpha + gE, -i_E\alpha),$$

for any 1-form α and for any smooth function g , where $\pi\alpha$ is the vector field defined by $i_{\pi\alpha}\beta = \pi(\alpha, \beta)$ for any 1-form β . The graph L_P of P is a Dirac structure of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ if and only if (π, E) is a Jacobi structure. In such a case, we can identify L_P with $T^*M \times \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the Lie algebroid structure that is associated to the Jacobi manifold. Namely, we consider the

map $\Phi : T^*M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L_P$ defined by $\Phi(\alpha, f) = (\pi\alpha + gE, -i_E\alpha) + (\alpha, f)$. Then Φ a Lie algebroid isomorphism. Here $\Gamma(L_P)$ is endowed with the extended bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$, while $\Gamma(T^*M \times \mathbb{R})$ is equipped with the following bracket introduced in [Ke-SB]

$$\begin{aligned} \{(\alpha, f), (\beta, g)\}_{(\pi, E)} &= \left(\mathcal{L}_{\pi\alpha}\beta - \mathcal{L}_{\pi\beta}\alpha - d(\pi(\alpha, \beta)) + f\mathcal{L}_E\beta - g\mathcal{L}_E\alpha - i_E(\alpha \wedge \beta), \right. \\ &\quad \left. -\pi(\alpha, \beta) + \pi(\alpha, dg) - \pi(\beta, df) + fE \cdot g - gE \cdot f \right). \end{aligned}$$

We refer the reader to [H-M] for discussions on morphisms of Lie algebroids. One can observe that $(T^*M \times R, TM \times R)$ is not a Lie bialgebroid when (M, π, E) is a generic Jacobi manifold (otherwise we obtain the Poisson case).

4.2 Nambu Manifolds.

Let M be an n -dimensional smooth manifold. A *Nambu structure* on M of order p ($2 \leq p \leq n$) is defined by a p -vector field Π which satisfies the Fundamental Identity (see [T])

$$\{f_1, \dots, f_{p-1}, \{g_1, \dots, g_p\}_\Pi\}_\Pi = \sum_{k=1}^p \{g_1, \dots, g_{k-1}, \{f_1, \dots, f_{p-1}, g_k\}_\Pi, g_{k+1}, \dots, g_p\}_\Pi,$$

for any $f_1, \dots, f_{p-1}, g_1, \dots, g_p \in C^\infty(M)$, where $\{\cdot\}_\Pi$ is defined by

$$\{f_1, \dots, f_p\}_\Pi = \Pi(df_1, \dots, df_p), \quad \forall f_1, \dots, f_p \in C^\infty(M).$$

A manifold equipped with such a structure is called *Nambu manifold*. In fact, Nambu structures of order 2 are nothing but Poisson structures. We shall show that the local structure of Nambu manifolds gives rise to certain Dirac structures:

Proposition 4.1 *Locally, any Nambu structure of order $n - 2$ on an n -dimensional manifold corresponds to a family of Dirac structures.*

To prove this proposition, we shall use the characterization of Nambu manifolds by means of differential forms which is given in [D-Z]. Precisely,

let Ω be a volume form on M , any p -vector field Π corresponds to a $(n-p)$ -form $\omega = i_\Pi \Omega$. In [D-Z], the authors proved that Π is a Nambu structure if and only if

$$(i_A \omega) \wedge \omega = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (i_A \omega) \wedge d\omega = 0, \quad (1)$$

for any $(n-p-1)$ -vector field A . Obviously, ω depends on the volume form Ω . A differential form which satisfies the relations (1) is called a *co-Nambu form*. For the proof of Proposition 4.1, we need also the following two lemmas:

Lemma 4.2 (*see [G]*) *A p -vector field Π is a Nambu structure of order p if and only if for any point x where $\Pi(x) \neq 0$, there exists a local system of coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ defined in a neighborhood of x such that*

$$\Pi = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_p}.$$

Lemma 4.3 *Any pair (ω, η) formed by a differential 2-form and a closed 1-form such that $d\omega = \eta \wedge \omega$ corresponds to a Dirac structure $L_{(\omega, \eta)}$ of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$.*

Proof: For any 2-form ω and any closed 1-form η , we consider the sub-bundle $L_{(\omega, \eta)} \subset \mathcal{E}^1(M)$ whose fibre at a point x is:

$$L_{(\omega, \eta)}(x) = \{(X, -i_X \eta)_x + (i_X \omega + f\eta, f)_x \mid X \in \Gamma(TM), f \in C^\infty(M)\}.$$

It is not hard to check that $L_{(\omega, \eta)}$ is a maximal isotropic sub-bundle of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$. Furthermore, by a simple (but long) computation, we prove that $L_{(\omega, \eta)}$ is a Dirac structure of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ if and only if we have the relation

$$d\omega = \eta \wedge \omega. \quad (2)$$

This gives the lemma. ■

Remark 4.4 Dirac structures of the type $L_{(\omega, \eta)}$ include *locally conformal symplectic structures*. Recall that a locally conformal symplectic structure on an $2m$ -dimensional manifold M is given by a pair (ω, η) , where ω is a non-degenerate 2-form and η is a closed 1-form such that $d\omega = \eta \wedge \omega$. We have the definition:

Definition 4.5 A *locally conformal pre-symplectic structure* is given by a 2-form ω and a closed 1-form such that $d\omega = \eta \wedge \omega$.

Proof of Proposition 4.1: Let Π be a Nambu structure of order $n - 2$ on an n -dimensional manifold. Denote $\mathcal{U} = \{x \in M \mid \Pi(x) \neq 0\}$. Taking into account the fact that Π is a Nambu structure on M if and only if it is such on $\mathcal{U}$, we can restrict ourselves to $\mathcal{U}$. According to Lemma 4.2, around any point x_0 of $\mathcal{U}$ we can write: $\Pi = \partial/\partial x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \partial/\partial x_{n-2}$ for a suitable system of coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ defined on an open set $\mathcal{V}_{x_0}$ containing x_0 . To any function f such that $f(x) \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{V}_{x_0}$, we associate the local volume form $\Omega_f = f dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$. We obtain $i_\Pi \Omega_f = \pm f dx_{n-1} \wedge dx_n$. Denote $\omega_f = i_\Pi \Omega_f$. Then we have $d\omega_f = \pm d\ln|f| \wedge \omega_f$. Applying Lemma 4.3, we deduce the existence of a Dirac structure of $E^1(\mathcal{V}_{x_0})$ associated to any pair $(\omega_f, d\ln|f|)$. Thus, any function $f : \mathcal{V}_{x_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that vanishes nowhere, defines a Dirac structure $L_{(\omega_f, d\ln|f|)}$.

Conversely, assume that $L_{(\omega, d\ln|f|)}$ is Dirac structure, where f is a function which does not vanish on M and ω is a decomposable 2-form (i.e. there are two independent differential 1-forms ω_1, ω_2 such that $\omega = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$). Then, ω is a co-Nambu 2-form. Moreover, $g\omega$ is also a co-Nambu 2-form for any non-vanishing function g . From Lemma 4.3, we obtain that any pair $(g\omega, d\ln|fg|)$ corresponds to a Dirac structure. Using a local volume form Ω defined on an open set $\mathcal{V}$, we get a Nambu structure Π on $\mathcal{V}$ characterized by $i_\Pi \Omega = \omega$. Therefore, Proposition 4.1 is obtained. ■

4.3 Homogeneous Poisson Manifolds.

A *homogeneous Poisson manifold* (M, π, Z) is a Poisson manifold (M, π) with a vector field Z on M such that $[Z, \pi]_s = -\pi$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]_s$ is the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket defined on poly-vector fields. This kind of structures was studied by Dazord, Lichnerowicz and Marle in [D-L-M]. We shall give a new characterization of these structures. Namely, we have the following result:

Proposition 4.6 Let π be a bivector field and let Z be a vector field on M . Consider the sub-bundle $L_{(\pi, Z)}$ whose fibre at a point x is given

$$L_{(\pi, Z)}(x) = \{(\pi\alpha - fZ, f)_x + (\alpha, i_Z\alpha)_x \mid \alpha \in \Gamma(T^*M), f \in C^\infty(M)\}.$$

Then (M, π, Z) is a homogeneous Poisson manifold if and only if $L_{(\pi, Z)}$ is a Dirac structure of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$.

Proof: By an easy computation, we see that $L_{(\pi, Z)}$ is maximally isotropic. Notice that the set of smooth sections of $L_{(\pi, Z)}$ is spanned by $(Z, -1) + (0, 0)$ and elements of the type $(\pi\alpha, 0) + (\alpha, i_Z\alpha)$, where α is an arbitrary differential 1-form. By using Property (ii) of Proposition 3.3, we see that it is sufficient to study the bracket of such sections. On one hand for any differential 1-form, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(\pi\alpha, 0) + (\alpha, i_Z\alpha), (Z, -1) + (0, 0)] &= -\left([Z, \pi\alpha] + \pi\mathcal{L}_Z\alpha, 0\right) \\ &\quad -\left(\mathcal{L}_Z\alpha - \alpha, i_Z(\mathcal{L}_Z\alpha - \alpha)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket, we get

$$[Z, \pi]_s \alpha = [Z, \pi\alpha] - \pi\mathcal{L}_Z\alpha \quad \forall \alpha \in \Gamma(T^*M). \quad (3)$$

It follows that $[(\pi\alpha, 0) + (\alpha, i_Z\alpha), (Z, -1) + (0, 0)]$ belongs to $\Gamma(L_{(\pi, Z)})$ if and only if

$$[Z, \pi]_s \alpha = -\pi\alpha.$$

On the other hand, from a direct calculation of the bracket

$$[(\pi\alpha_1, 0) + (\alpha_1, i_Z\alpha_1), (\pi\alpha_2, 0) + (\alpha_2, i_Z\alpha_2)],$$

we deduce that this bracket is a smooth section of $L_{(\pi, Z)}$ for any two differential 1-forms α_1, α_2 if and only if $[\pi, \pi]_s = 0$ and $[Z, \pi]_s = -\pi$.

This completes the proof of Proposition 4.6. ■

Before ending this section, let us remark that any exact differential 2-form on a manifold M is a Dirac structure of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ which is a graph of a certain map. More precisely, let $\mathbf{B}$ be a smooth section of $\Lambda^2(T^*M \times R)$. So, $\mathbf{B}$ is given by a pair (ω, α) formed by a 2-form ω and a 1-form α . We can define a vector bundle map $\phi_{\mathbf{B}} : TM \times R \rightarrow T^*M \times R$ extended to sections, such that

$$\phi_{\mathbf{B}}(X, f) = (i_X\omega + f\alpha, -i_X\alpha)$$

for any smooth vector field X and for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$. The graph of $\phi_{\mathbf{B}}$ is a Dirac structure of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ if and only if $\omega = d\alpha$.

5 The Jacobi Algebra of Admissible Functions

Definition 5.1 Let L be a maximal isotropic sub-bundle of $(\mathcal{E}^1(M), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. A function f is said to be L -admissible if there exist a vector field X_f and a smooth function φ_f on M such that $e_f = (X_f, \varphi_f) + (df, f)$ is a smooth section of L .

Note that e_f is unique up to a smooth section of $L \cap (TM \times \mathbb{R})$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_-$ denote the skew symmetric 2-form on $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ defined by:

$$\left\langle (X_1, \mu_1) + (\alpha_1, \lambda_1), (X_2, \mu_2) + (\alpha_2, \lambda_2) \right\rangle_- = \frac{1}{2} \left(i_{X_2} \alpha_1 - i_{X_1} \alpha_2 + \lambda_1 \mu_2 - \lambda_2 \mu_1 \right)$$

for any $(X_i, \mu_i) + (\alpha_i, \lambda_i)$ in $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$. Define the following bracket on the space of L -admissible functions:

$$\{f, g\} = -\langle e_f, e_g \rangle_-$$

for any two L -admissible functions f and g . This bracket is well defined. Indeed, if $e'_f = (X'_f, \varphi'_f) + (df, f)$ is another element of $\Gamma(L)$ then $e_f - e'_f$ is in $\Gamma(L \cap (TM \times \mathbb{R}))$. Therefore for any L -admissible function g , we have

$$\left\langle e_f - e'_f, e_g \right\rangle_+ = \left\langle e_f - e'_f, e_g \right\rangle_- = 0.$$

Hence $\langle e_f, e_g \rangle_- = \langle e'_f, e_g \rangle_-$. Since $\langle e_f, e_g \rangle_+ = 0$, we can rewrite

$$\{f, g\} = i_{X_f} dg + g \varphi_f = -(i_{X_g} df + f \varphi_g).$$

It should be mentioned that the bracket $\{ \cdot, \cdot \}$ is local, this means that the support of $\{f, g\}$ is contained in the intersection of the supports of f and g . Precisely, $\{f, g\}(x)$ depends only on the values of $df(x)$, $dg(x)$, $f(x)$ and $g(x)$. One can notice that our definition of an L -admissible function is a little bit more general than the one given by Courant in [C]. Here, we do not need the fact that $\Gamma(L)$ is closed under $[\cdot, \cdot]$ in the definition of $\{ \cdot, \cdot \}$. We have the following result:

Proposition 5.2 Let L be a Dirac structure of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$. Then the space of L -admissible functions is a Lie algebra.

Lemma 5.3 *Let L be an isotropic sub-bundle of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ for the symmetric form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_+$. If $e_f = (X_f, \varphi_f) + (df, f)$ and $e_g = (X_g, \varphi_g) + (dg, g)$ are two smooth sections of L , then*

$$[e_f, e_g] = ([X_f, X_g], X_f \cdot \varphi_g - X_g \cdot \varphi_f) + (d\{f, g\}, \{f, g\}),$$

where $\{f, g\} = -\langle e_f, e_g \rangle_-$.

Proof: Using the definition of the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} [e_f, e_g] &= ([X_f, X_g], X_f \cdot \varphi_g - X_g \cdot \varphi_f) + \frac{1}{2} \left(d(X_f \cdot g - X_g \cdot f + g\varphi_f - f\varphi_g), \right. \\ &\quad \left. X_f \cdot g - X_g \cdot f + g\varphi_f - f\varphi_g \right). \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} [e_f, e_g] &= ([X_f, X_g], X_f \cdot \varphi_g - X_g \cdot \varphi_f) - (d\langle e_f, e_g \rangle_-, \langle e_f, e_g \rangle_-) \\ &= ([X_f, X_g], X_f \cdot \varphi_g - X_g \cdot \varphi_f) + (d\{f, g\}, \{f, g\}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. ■

Proof of Proposition 5.2: Let $L \subset \mathcal{E}^1(M)$ be a Dirac structure. It is clear that the corresponding bracket $\{ \cdot, \cdot \}$ is $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear and skew symmetric. Moreover, using Lemma 5.3, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [e_f, e_g], e_h \rangle_+ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(i_{[X_f, X_g]} dh + h(X_f \cdot \varphi_g - X_g \cdot \varphi_f) + \{h, \{f, g\}\} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{L}_{X_f} \mathcal{L}_{X_g} h - \mathcal{L}_{X_g} \mathcal{L}_{X_f} h + h(X_f \cdot \varphi_g - X_g \cdot \varphi_f) + \{h, \{f, g\}\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for any L -admissible functions f, g and h . If we add and withdraw the term $\varphi_f X_g \cdot h - \varphi_g X_f \cdot h$ in the second member of this last equality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [e_f, e_g], e_h \rangle_+ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(X_f \cdot \{g, h\} - X_g \cdot \{f, h\} + \varphi_f X_g \cdot h - \varphi_g X_f \cdot h + \{h, \{f, g\}\} \right) \\ &= \{f, \{g, h\}\} - \{g, \{f, h\}\} + \{h, \{f, g\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By using the definition of a Dirac structure, we have:

$$\left\langle [e_f, e_g], e_h \right\rangle_+ = \{f, \{g, h\}\} - \{g, \{f, h\}\} + \{h, \{f, g\}\} = 0.$$

Therefore, the space of L -admissible functions forms a Lie algebra.

■

Proposition 5.2 extends a result proven in [C-W] for Dirac structures on M (i.e. for Dirac structures of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ which are subsets of $TM \oplus (TM \times \mathbb{R})$). Now let us give a remark that will be useful later.

Remark 5.4 Let L be a Dirac structure of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$. The product fg of two L -admissible functions f and g may not be L -admissible. But, if $(Y_g, \theta_g) + (dg, 0)$ is in $\Gamma(L)$, then for any L -admissible function f , the product gf is also L -admissible. Indeed, the section

$$g\left((X_f, \varphi_f) + (df, f)\right) + f\left((Y_g, \theta_g) + (dg, 0)\right) = (gX_f + fY_g, g\varphi_f + f\theta_g) + (d(gf), gf)$$

belongs to $\Gamma(L)$. By induction, we obtain that $g^n f$ is L -admissible for any integer $n \geq 1$. On the other hand, If f, g, h and gh are L -admissible functions, then we have

$$\{f, gh\} = g\{f, h\} + \{f, g\}h - gh \varphi_f, \quad (4)$$

where $(X_f, \varphi_f) + (df, f) \in \Gamma(L)$. This holds since we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \{f, gh\} &= gX_f \cdot h + hX_f \cdot g + gh\varphi_f \\ &= g(X_f \cdot h + h\varphi_f) + (X_f \cdot g + g\varphi_f)h - gh\varphi_f \\ &= g\{f, h\} + \{f, g\}h - gh \varphi_f. \end{aligned}$$

■

From now on, we denote by $A^\infty(L)$ the set of L -admissible functions, whenever L is maximal isotropic sub-bundle of $(\mathcal{E}^1(M), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_+)$.

The rest of this section is devoted to the case where the constant functions are L -admissible, for a given Dirac structure $L \subset \mathcal{E}^1(M)$. In such a case, there exists a smooth vector field E such that $(E, 0) + (0, 1)$ belongs to $\Gamma(L)$. Therefore, if $e_f = (X_f, \varphi_f) + (df, f)$ is in $\Gamma(L)$, then

$$\langle (E, 0) + (0, 1), e_f \rangle_+ = 0.$$

This gives $\varphi_f = -E \cdot f$. Hence Equation (4) implies

$$\{f, gh\} = g\{f, h\} + \{f, g\}h + gh E \cdot f.$$

It follows from this above equality, the existence a bi-differential operator on $A^\infty(L)$ of order at most one in each of its argument, denoted by P , such that $P(f, g) = \{f, g\}$. This shows that $A^\infty(L)$ is a Jacobi algebra.

Recall that a *Jacobi algebra* is an associative commutative algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with unit 1 (over $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$) endowed with a skew symmetric bi-differential operator P , which defines a Lie algebra structure on $\mathcal{A}$. When P vanishes on constants, we get a *Poisson algebra*. A Jacobi structure (π, E) on a smooth manifold M is equivalent to having a Jacobi algebra structure on $C^\infty(M)$ ([Gr]). We summarize our discussion in the following form:

Theorem 5.5 *There exists an one-to-one correspondence between Jacobi structures on M and Dirac structures L of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ such that $A^\infty(L) = C^\infty(M)$.*

6 Conformal Dirac Structures

In this section, we introduce the concept of a conformal Dirac structure which includes conformal Jacobi structures. Conformal Jacobi structures are known to be natural generalizations of local Lie algebras considered by Kirillov in [K]. We refer the reader to [D-L-M], for instance, for details on conformal Jacobi structures. First, we establish the following result:

Theorem 6.1 *Let L be a Dirac structure of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$. Assume that $\Gamma(L)$ contains an element of the form $(Y_a, \theta_a) + (da, 0)$, with $a(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in M$. Then the space $A^\infty(L)$ of L -admissible functions is endowed with two Lie algebra brackets $\{.,.\}$ and $\{.,.\}^a$ linked by :*

$$\{f, g\}^a = \frac{1}{a} \{af, ag\}$$

for any two L -admissible functions f and g .

Proof: The bracket $\{.,.\}$ is defined on $A^\infty(L)$ by:

$$\{f, g\} = -\langle e_f, e_g \rangle_-,$$

where $e_f = (X_f, \varphi_f) + (df, f)$ and $e_g = (X_g, \varphi_g) + (dg, g)$ are sections of L . By Remark 5.4, the functions af and ag are L -admissible. Thus, the expression $\{af, ag\}$ makes sense. Moreover, Proposition 5.2 ensures that $\{.,.\}$ is a Lie bracket. Hence it remains to prove that $\{.,.\}^a$ satisfies the Jacobi identity. For any L -admissible functions f_1, f_2 and f_3 , we have

$$\{\{f_1, f_2\}^a, f_3\}^a = \frac{1}{a}\{a\{f_1, f_2\}^a, af_3\} = \frac{1}{a}\{\{af_1, af_2\}, af_3\}.$$

The Jacobi identity for the bracket $\{.,.\}$ implies

$$\{\{f_1, f_2\}^a, f_3\}^a + c.p. = \frac{1}{a}\{\{af_1, af_2\}, af_3\} + c.p. = 0.$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 6.1. ■

In fact, $\{.,.\}^a$ is related to a specific isotropic sub-bundle of $(\mathcal{E}^1(M), \langle.,.\rangle_+)$ which depends on a . To define properly this sub-bundle, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 6.2 *Let L be a maximal isotropic sub-bundle of $(\mathcal{E}^1(M), \langle.,.\rangle_+)$. Let a be a smooth function on M that vanishes nowhere. Fix a vector field Y and consider the sub-bundle $\widehat{L} \subset \mathcal{E}^1(M)$ whose fibre at a point $x \in M$ is given by*

$$\widehat{L}_x = \text{span}\left\{((aX + fY)_x, (a\varphi - i_Y\alpha)_x) + (\alpha_x, f_x) \mid (X, \varphi) + (\alpha, f) \in \Gamma(L)\right\}.$$

Then $\widehat{L}$ is maximally isotropic.

Proof: Consider two elements of $\Gamma(\widehat{L})$ denoted by δ_1 and δ_2 such that

$$\delta_n = (aX_n + f_nY, a\varphi_n - i_Y\alpha_n) + (\alpha_n, f_n), \quad \forall n = 1, 2$$

with $e_n = (X_n, \varphi_n) + (\alpha_n, f_n) \in \Gamma(L)$. We have

$$\langle \delta_1, \delta_2 \rangle_+ = a \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_+.$$

Since L is isotropic, we deduce that $\langle \delta_1, \delta_2 \rangle_+ = 0$. This proves that $\widehat{L}$ is isotropic under $\langle.,.\rangle_+$. This is a maximal isotropic sub-bundle of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$. Indeed, suppose that L' is isotropic sub-bundle of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ which contains $\widehat{L}$. Then for any $\delta_1 \in \Gamma(\widehat{L})$ and for any $e' = (Z, h) + (\beta, k) \in \Gamma(L')$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = 2\langle \delta_1, e' \rangle_+ &= i_{(aX_1 + f_1Y)}\beta + i_Z\alpha_1 + hf_1 + k(a\varphi_1 - i_Y\alpha_1) \\ &= \langle e_1, (Z - kY, h + i_Y\beta) + (a\beta, ak) \rangle_+, \end{aligned}$$

where $e_1 = (X_1, \varphi_1) + (\alpha_1, f_1)$ is the section of L corresponding to δ_1 . Since L is maximally isotropic, we deduce that $(Z - kY, h + i_Y\beta) + a(\beta, k)$ is in

$\Gamma(L)$. Hence we obtain the existence of a section $(X', \varphi') + (\alpha', f') \in \Gamma(L)$ such that

$$(Z - kY, h + i_Y \beta) + a(\beta, k) = a(X', \varphi') + a(\alpha', f').$$

This means that

$$e' = (aX' + f'Y, a\varphi' - i_Y \alpha'_i) + (\alpha', f').$$

Therefore $\widehat{L} = L'$. This proves the lemma. ■

Proposition 6.3 *Let L be a maximally isotropic sub-bundle of $(\mathcal{E}^1(M), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_+)$ and let a be a smooth function on M that vanishes nowhere. Assume that $(Y_a, \theta_a) + (da, 0)$ is a smooth section of L . Consider the sub-bundle $L^a \subset \mathcal{E}^1(M)$ whose fibre at a point $x \in M$ is given by*

$$L_x^a = \text{span} \left\{ ((aX + fY_a)_x, (a\varphi - i_{Y_a} \alpha)_x) + (\alpha_x, f_x) \mid (X, \varphi) + (\alpha, f) \in \Gamma(L) \right\}.$$

Then the corresponding bracket on $A^\infty(L^a)$ coincide with $\{ \cdot, \cdot \}^a$.

Proof: We have to prove that if $\delta_f = (Y_f, \psi_f) + (df, f)$ and $\delta_g = (Y_g, \psi_g) + (dg, g)$ are in $\Gamma(L^a)$, then

$$\{f, g\}^a = -\langle \delta_f, \delta_g \rangle_-.$$

Obviously, a function f is L -admissible if and only if it is L^a -admissible. More precisely, any section $(X_f, \varphi_f) + (df, f) \in \Gamma(L)$ corresponds to a section δ_f of L^a given by

$$\delta_f = (X_{af}, \varphi_{af} - f\theta_a - Y_a \cdot f) + (df, f).$$

where

$$X_{af} = aX_f + fY_a, \quad \varphi_{af} = a\varphi_f + f\theta_a$$

and $e_{af} = (X_{af}, \varphi_{af}) + (daf, af) \in \Gamma(L)$. We have a similar expression for δ_g . Therefore,

$$2\langle \delta_f, \delta_g \rangle_- = X_{ag} \cdot f - X_{af} \cdot g + f\varphi_{ag} - g\varphi_{af} + i_{Y_a}(gdf - fdg).$$

This is equivalent to the following equation:

$$2\langle\delta_f, \delta_g\rangle_- = \frac{1}{a}\left(\langle e_{af}, e_{ag}\rangle_+ + i_{(gX_{af}-fX_{ag})}da\right) + i_{Y_a}(gdf - fdg).$$

Now, we replace X_{af} and X_{ag} by their value and use the fact that

$$i_{Y_a}da = \langle(Y_a, \theta_a) + (da, 0), (Y_a, \theta_a) + (da, 0)\rangle_+ = 0.$$

Then we obtain

$$\langle\delta_f, \delta_g\rangle_- = -\frac{1}{a}\{af, ag\} + \frac{1}{2}\left(i_{(gX_f-fX_g)}da + i_{Y_a}(gdf - fdg)\right).$$

Since $fe_g - ge_f$ is in $\Gamma(L)$, we have

$$\langle fe_g - ge_f, (Y_a, \theta_a) + (da, 0)\rangle_+ = i_{(gX_f-fX_g)}da + i_{Y_a}(gdf - fdg) = 0.$$

We deduce that

$$-\langle\delta_f, \delta_g\rangle_- = \frac{1}{a}\{af, ag\} = \{f, g\}^a.$$

■

Now we are ready to state our second main result:

Theorem 6.4 *Let L be a Dirac structure of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$. Assume that smooth sections of L are locally spanned by elements of the form $(X_f, \varphi_f) + (df, f)$. Let a be a smooth function on M that vanishes nowhere and such that an element of the type $(Y_a, \theta_a) + (da, 0)$ is in $\Gamma(L)$. Let L^a denote the sub-bundle whose fibre at a point $x \in M$ is given by:*

$$L_x^a = \text{span}\left\{((aX + fY_a)_x, (a\varphi - i_{Y_a}\alpha)_x) + (\alpha_x, f_x) \mid (X, \varphi) + (\alpha, f) \in \Gamma(L)\right\}.$$

Then, L^a is a Dirac structure of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$.

To prove Theorem 6.4, we use the following lemma:

Lemma 6.5 *Let L be a maximally isotropic sub-bundle of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_+$. Then L is a Dirac structure if and only if for any e_1, e_2 and $e_3 \in \Gamma(L)$, we have:*

$$\langle [e_1, e_2], e_3 \rangle_+ = 0.$$

Proof: This lemma is an immediate consequence of the fact that L is a maximally isotropic sub-bundle of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$. ■

Proof of Theorem 6.4: It follows from Lemma 6.2 that L^a is a maximal isotropic sub-bundle under $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_+$. To prove that $\Gamma(L^a)$ is closed under the extended Courant bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$, we use Lemma 6.5. In fact, we can restrict ourselves to an open set $\mathcal{U}$ of M such that sections of L over $\mathcal{U}$ are spanned by the family of sections $e_f = (X_f, \varphi_f) + (df, f)$, where $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{U})$. Therefore, the set of smooth sections of L^a over $\mathcal{U}$ is spanned by elements of the form $\delta_f = (Y_f, \psi_f) + (df, f)$, where

$$Y_f = aX_f + fY_a, \quad \psi_f = a\varphi_f - Y_a \cdot f$$

and $e_f = (X_f, \varphi_f) + (df, f)$ is a smooth section of L . So, it is sufficient to show that

$$\langle [\delta_{f_1}, \delta_{f_2}], \delta_{f_3} \rangle_+ = 0$$

for L^a -admissible functions f_1, f_2 and f_3 . Using Proposition 6.3, we obtain

$$\langle [\delta_{f_1}, \delta_{f_2}], \delta_{f_3} \rangle_+ = \{\{f_1, f_2\}^a, f_3\}^a + c.p.,$$

where $\{f_i, f_j\}^a = -\langle \delta_{f_i}, \delta_{f_j} \rangle$. According to Theorem 6.1, $\{\cdot, \cdot\}^a$ is a Lie bracket on $A^\infty(L)$. Thus, the result is proved ■

Remark 6.6 In Theorem 6.4, if $\Gamma(L)$ is not locally spanned by the elements of the form $e_f = (X_f, \varphi_f) + (df, f)$, one gets a maximal isotropic sub-bundle L^a (see Lemma 6.2). But, it is not clear whether the set of sections of L^a is closed under the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ or not. Observe that when L corresponds to a Jacobi structure, $\Gamma(L)$ is spanned by the elements of the form e_f . We shall give another example where this hypothesis of Theorem 6.4 is fulfilled.

Example. Assume that (ω, η) defines a locally conformal pre-symplectic structure, where the rank of ω is constant. Denote $D = \{X \in TM \mid i_X \omega = 0\}$ and $D^\perp$ its annihilator in T^*M . In fact, we have $D^\perp = \{i_X \omega \mid X \in TM\}$. Since $d\omega = \eta \wedge \omega$, the distribution D is integrable. Hence, for any point x of M , there exist coordinates $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ defined in a open neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of x such that $D^\perp|_{\mathcal{V}}$ is spanned by the 1-forms $dy_1, \dots, dy_r$.

We denote by $L_{\omega,\eta}$ the Dirac structure associated with (ω, η) . So, we have

$$L_{\omega,\eta}(x) = \{(X, -i_X\eta)_x + (i_X\omega + f\eta, f)_x \mid X \in \Gamma(TM), f \in C^\infty(M)\}.$$

From above, we deduce the existence of r vector fields $X_1, \dots, X_r$ defined on $\mathcal{V}$ such that the set of smooth sections of $L_{\omega,\eta}$ over $\mathcal{V}$ is spanned by

$$(0, 0) + (\eta, 1) \text{ and } (X_k, -i_{X_k}\eta) + (dy_k, 0), \quad k = 1, \dots, r.$$

We can suppose without loss of generality that $\eta = \frac{dh}{h}$, where $h \in C^\infty(\mathcal{V})$ and $h(x) > 0$, for any $x \in \mathcal{V}$. Consider

$$e_k = (X_k, -i_{X_k}\eta) + (dy_k + y_k\eta, y_k).$$

This can be written as follows:

$$e_k = \frac{1}{h} \left((Z_k, -i_{Z_k}\eta) + (dz_k, z_k) \right), \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, r,$$

where

$$Z_k = hX_k \quad \text{and} \quad z_k = hy_k, \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, r.$$

So, the set of smooth sections of $L_{\omega,\eta}$ over $\mathcal{V}$ is spanned by $(0, 0) + (dh, h)$ and the $(Z_k, -i_{Z_k}\eta) + (dz_k, z_k)$, $\forall k = 1, \dots, r$. We deduce that smooth sections of $L_{\omega,\eta}$ are locally spanned by elements of the form $(X_f, \varphi_f) + (df, f)$. ■

Theorem 6.4 gives rise to the following two definitions:

Definition 6.7 Let a be a smooth function on M which vanishes nowhere. Let L and L' be two Dirac structures of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$. Assume that there exists a smooth section of the form $(Y_a, \theta_a) + (da, 0)$ in $\Gamma(L)$ and the smooth sections of L' are of the type $(aX + fY_a, a\varphi - i_{Y_a}\alpha) + (\alpha, f)$, where $(X, \varphi) + (\alpha, f)$ belongs to $\Gamma(L)$. Then, L' is said to be *a-conformal* to L .

This first definition suggests to define a relation $\mathcal{R}$ among Dirac structures of $\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ by: $L' \mathcal{R} L$ if and only if there exists a smooth function a satisfying $a(x) \neq 0$, $\forall x \in M$ and L' is a -conformal to L . In such a case, we say that L' is *conformally equivalent* to L on M .

Definition 6.8 A *conformal Dirac structure on M* is defined by an open cover $\{U_i, i \in I\}$ of M and a collection of Dirac structures $L_i \subset \mathcal{E}^1(U_i)$ such that if $U_i \cap U_j$ is not empty then L_i is conformally equivalent to L_j upon $U_i \cap U_j$, where $\mathcal{E}^1(U_i) = (TU_i \times \mathbb{R}) \oplus (T^*U_i \times \mathbb{R})$.

Consider another open cover $\{V_r, r \in R\}$ of M such that each V_r is endowed with a Dirac structure $\widehat{L}_r \subset \mathcal{E}^1(V_r)$ and whenever $V_r \cap V_s \neq \emptyset$, $\widehat{L}_r$ is conformally equivalent to $\widehat{L}_s$. We say that this datum and $\{(U_i, L_i), i \in I\}$ define the same conformal Dirac structure on M if we have

$$U_i \cap V_r \neq \emptyset \implies L_i \text{ is conformally equivalent to } \widehat{L}_r, \forall (i, r) \in I \times R.$$

We have:

Proposition 6.9 *The relation $\mathcal{R}$ is an equivalence relation.*

Proof: It is clear that L is 1-conformal to itself, where 1 is the constant function equal to 1 at any point of M . Now, assume that L' is a -conformal to L . By definition, there exists a smooth section $(Y_a, \theta_a) + (da, 0) \in \Gamma(L)$. Since $Y_a \cdot a = 0$ and any smooth section of L' can be obtained from an element of $\Gamma(L)$, we deduce that $(aY_a, a\theta_a) + (da, 0)$ belongs to $\Gamma(L')$. This implies that $(Z_a, \eta_a) + (d(\frac{1}{a}), 0) \in \Gamma(L')$, where

$$Z_a = -\frac{1}{a}Y_a \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_a = -\frac{1}{a}\theta_a.$$

Moreover we have

$$(X', \varphi') + (\alpha, f) \in \Gamma(L') \iff \left(\frac{1}{a}X + fZ_a, \frac{1}{a}\varphi - i_{Z_a}\alpha\right) + (\alpha, f) \in \Gamma(L).$$

This proves that L is $\frac{1}{a}$ -conformal to L' . Furthermore, it is easy to see that if L'' is b -conformal to L' and L' is a -conformal to L , then L'' is ab -conformal to L . So, we obtain the proposition. ■

Remark 6.10 Obviously the equivalence class (with respect to $\mathcal{R}$) of any Dirac structure $L \subset \mathcal{E}^1(M)$ defines a conformal Dirac structure on M . But a conformal Dirac structure may not be globally defined on a manifold M . An interesting case is that of a conformal Dirac structure defined locally by conformal pre-symplectic structures but not defined globally. This case will be analyzed in a coming paper.

Acknowledgements. I wish to thank A. Weinstein for calling my attention to this problem and comments on an earlier version of this paper. Part of this work was conceived during a visit in the Mathematics Department at UNC-Chapel Hill. I would like to express my gratitude to this institution. I thank I. Assani for his hospitality. Thanks are due to the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics for its support, also to J.-P. Dufour and J. Stasheff for discussions.

References

- [C] T. Courant, *Dirac manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **319** (1990), 631-661.
- [C-W] T. Courant and A. Weinstein, *Beyond Poisson structures*, In séminaire Sud-Rhodanien de géométrie, Travaux en cours **27**, Hermann, Paris, 1988, 39-49.
- [D-L-M] P. Dazord, A. Lichnerowicz and C-M. Marle, *Structures locales des variétés de Jacobi*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **70** (1991), 101-152.
- [D] I. Dorfman, *Dirac structures and integrability of Nonlinear Evolution Equations*, Wiley, Chichester, 1993.
- [Dr] V. G. Drinfeld, *Hamiltonian structures on Lie groups, Lie bialgebras and the geometric meaning of classical Yang-Baxter equations*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **27** (1) (1983), 68-71
- [D-Z] J.-P. Dufour and N.-T. Zung, *Linearization of Nambu structures*, Compositio Math. **117** (1999), 77-98.
- [G] Ph. Gautheron, *Some remarks concerning Nambu mechanics*, Lett. Math. Phys. **37** (1996), 103-116.
- [Gr] J. Grabowski, *Abstract Jacobi and Poisson structures. Quantization and star-products*, J. Geom. Phys. **9**(1992), 45-73.
- [G-L] F. Guédira, A. Lichnerowicz, *Géométrie des algèbres de Lie locales de Kirillov*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **63** (1984), 407-484.

- [H-M] P. J. Higgins and K. C. H. Mackenzie, *Algebraic constructions in the category of Lie algebroids*, J. Algebra **129** (1) (1990), 194-230.
- [Ke-SB] Y. Kerbrat and Z. Souici-Benhammadi, *Variétés de Jacobi et groupoïdes de contact*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série I **317** (1993), 81-86.
- [K] A. Kirillov, *Local Lie algebras*, Russian Math. Surveys **31** (1976), 55-76.
- [Kz] J.-L. Koszul, *Crochet de Schouten-Nijenhuis et cohomologie*, Astérisque hors série “Élie Cartan et les mathématiques d’aujourd’hui” (1985), 257-271.
- [L] A. Lichnerowicz, *Les variétés de Jacobi et leurs algèbres de Lie associées*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **57** (1978), 453-488.
- [L-W-X] Z.-J. Liu, A. Weinstein and P. Xu, *Manin triples for Lie bialgebroids*, J. Diff. Geom. **45** (1997), 547-574.
- [T] L. Takhtajan, *On foundation of the generalized Nambu mechanics*, Comm. Math. Phys. **160** (1994), 295-315.

Current address:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA,
CHAPEL HILL, NC 27599-3250.

E-mail: aissaw@math.unc.edu